Psychosocial profiles of psychogenic movement disorder patients with non-epileptic seizures and other abnormal motor manifestations.



Strutt, A.M.,¹ Scott, B.M.,¹ Ferrara, J.,² York, M.K.,¹ & Jankovic, J.^{1,3} ¹Department of Neurology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; ²Department of Neurology, University of Louisville, KY; ³Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

Introduction

> The myriad of somatic manifestations presenting as psychogenic movement disorders (PMD) are seldom differentiated in the research literature.¹⁻²

> PMD are presumed to result from psychological and psychosocial distress, however, the etiopathogenic relationship between such experiences and PMD is not well understood.³⁻⁴

> Research has documented a higher prevalence of PMD in female patients.⁵⁻⁶

> The objective of the current study was to examine the psychological profiles of female psychogenic movement disorder (PMD) patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) and other types of abnormal motor manifestations (AMM).

Methods

> 39 age and education matched women diagnosed with PNES $(n^1=22)$ and AMM $(n^2=17)$ participated in the current study subsequent to diagnostic confirmation by means of video-EEG monitoring or adherence to Fahn and Williams criteria.⁷

> Measures administered: Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), Beck Depression Inventory-second edition (BDI-II), Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC), and Dissociative Experiences Scale-second edition (DES-II).

College of Medicine

Results

Table 1.

> The PNES group reported an earlier age of symptom onset and an average duration of motor symptoms nearly twice that of the PAMM group.

> Ethnicity trauma history were and significantly different with more AMM participants being Caucasian and reporting no history of trauma.

Both groups reported moderate levels of anxiety, while the PNES group demonstrated significantly symptoms of elevated depression.

Age Education Ethnicit Cau Afric Hisp Othe Age of (Duration History None Sexu Phys Emo

*Percentages do not add to 100% as some participants reported multiple forms of abuse.

Measure	PNES	PMD	F	р
Mood				
BAI Total	18.4 (9.61)	17.8 (11.4)	-0.18	0.86
BDI-II Total	28.0 (11.5)	16.7 (14.6)	-2.70	0.01
Locus of Control				
Internal	17.3 (6.56)	17.5 (8.63)	0.07	0.94
Chance	14.2 (6.86)	13.2 (4.74)	-0.52	0.61
Doctors	12.4 (3.62)	12.1 (3.02)	-0.27	0.78
Others	11.4 (4.22)	8.13 (3.36)	-2.57	0.01
<u>Dissociation</u>				
DES-II Total	14.2 (15.9)	9.08 (9.83)	-1.17	0.25
Absorption	17.0 (17.6)	13.0 (12.8)	-0.80	0.43
Depersonalization	9.77 (15.9)	5.54 (9.46)	-0.97	0.34
Amnesia	11.3 (16.9)	2.21 (4.10)	-2.17	0.04

Table 2. Outcome measures by diagnostic group

Note. Means (SD) are provided for each variable.

Comparison of PNES and PMD group demographics.							
Demographic	PNES	PMD	t/X^2	p			
	36.4 (10.8)	40.1 (11.1)	1.05	0.30			
ion Level	13.0 (1.85)	14.3 (1.99)	1.59	0.12			
ity							
Icasian	13 (59.2%)	14 (82.4%)	8.33	0.04			
can American	5 (22.7%)	-					
panic	4 (18.2%)	1 (5.90%)					
er	-	2 (11.8%)					
Onset (yrs)	27.8 (13.3)	35.5 (11.7)	1.82	0.08			
on of Motor Sx (yrs)	8.73 (9.21)	4.40 (5.32)	-1.64	0.11			
of Trauma*							
ne	7 (31.8%)	13 (76.5%)	7.65	0.006			
ual Abuse	7 (31.8%)	2 (11.8%)	2.17	0.14			
sical Abuse	8 (36.4%)	3 (17.6%)	1.66	0.20			
otional Abuse	10 (45.5%)	4 (23.5%)	2.00	0.16			

Note. Means (SD) or Frequencies (%) are provided for each variable.

Dissociation tendencies were within normal mits; however, PNES participants endorsed ignificantly dissociative amnestic more xperiences.

The majority of both PNES (81.8%) and MM (70.6%) groups reported a greater external versus internal) control orientation. However, PNES participants attributed significantly greater of their medical condition to other ontrol ndividuals (non-physicians), and this variable was he only significant predictor of group membership.

> The current study suggests that women with PNES may have a different psychological profile than female AMM patients, including higher levels of depression, increased amnestic dissociative episodes and an external control orientation that associates the influence of others (non-physicians) to their medical condition.

> Given these results, psychological interventions for PMD patients should be tailored to their motormovement subtypes.

> Future research is needed to determine the utility of such psychological characteristics in discriminating psychogenic movements and neurological conditions with clinically similar features.

- 2) Abubakr, A., Kablinger, A., & Caldito, G. (2003). Psychogenic seizures: clinical features and psychological analysis. Journal of Epilepsy & Behavior, 4, 241-245. 3) Alsaadi, T.M., & Marquez, A.V. (2005). Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. Am Fam Physician, 72(5), 849-856.
- 4) Crimlisk, H.L., Bhatia, K., Cope, H., David, A., Marsden, C.D., & Ron, M.A. (1998). Slater revisited: 6 year follow up study of patients with medically unexplained motor symptoms. BMJ, 316, 582-586.
- 5) Strutt, A.M., Hill, S.W., Scott, B.M., Uber-Zak, L., & Fogel, T.G. (2011). A comprehensive neuropsychological profile of women with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. Journal of Epilepsy & Behavior, 20, 24-28.
- 6) Fahn, S., & Jankovic, J. (2007). Principles and practice of movement disorders. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier.
- 7) Fahn, S., & Williams, D.T. (1988). Psychogenic dystonia. Adv Neurol, 50, 431-455.



Conclusions

References

1) Stone, J., Sharpe, M., & Binzer, M. (2004). Motor conversion symptoms and pseudoseizures: a comparison of clinical characteristics. Psychosomatics, 45(6), 492-