# <u>Cervical Dystonia Patient Registry for Observation of BOTOX® Efficacy (CD PROBE)</u>: **Interim Results of Patient-Reported Outcomes**

# Mark Stacy<sup>1</sup>, P. David Charles<sup>2</sup>, Charles H. Adler<sup>3</sup>, Cynthia Comella<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Jankovic<sup>5</sup>, Lee Ming Boo<sup>6\*</sup>, Mitchell Brin<sup>6</sup>, Sepideh F. Varon<sup>6</sup>, Spyridon Papapetropoulos<sup>6</sup> (on behalf of the CD PROBE Study Group)

<sup>1</sup>Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; <sup>2</sup>Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN; <sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ; <sup>4</sup>Rush-Presbyerian-St Luke's Medical Center, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; <sup>6</sup>Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA

## INTRODUCTION

- Cervical dystonia (CD) is a chronic neurologic disorder manifested by sustained, involuntary contractions of cervical musculature, resulting in pain and abnormal movements or postures of the head, neck, and shoulders.<sup>1</sup>
- Impaired neck mobility, chronic pain, and a reduction in patient self-image may adversely impact quality of life.<sup>2</sup>
- OnabotulinumtoxinA (BOTOX<sup>®</sup>, Allergan Inc.) is the standard of care for relief of CD-related abnormal movements, posture, and pain,<sup>3</sup> yet clinical questions need to be answered to optimize treatment.
- CD PROBE (Cervical Dystonia Patient Registry for Observation of BOTOX® Efficacy) is a multicenter, prospective, observational study designed to capture data on the clinical presentation of CD, dosing of onabotulinumtoxinA, and treatment outcomes.
- Assessment of patient-reported outcomes (PROs) is important in evaluating the overall effectiveness of treatment in a chronic condition such as CD, where relief of patient symptoms to improve quality of life is the major goal of therapy.

## **OBJECTIVE**

Report interim analyses of PROs after repeat injections of onabotulinumtoxinA in subjects enrolled in CD PROBE.

## **METHODS**

#### Study Design

- A multicenter, prospective, observational study of subjects with CD treated with onabotulinumtoxinA (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT00836017).
- Subjects were administered 3 injections separated by >90 days.
- Dose and treatment intervals were based on standard of care for the physician practice.
- Assessments were made at baseline (injection 1) and 4–6 weeks after each injection (peak effect).
- Scales used to assess PROs: Cervical Dystonia Impact Profile (CDIP-58) and Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC)

#### Patients

- Inclusion criteria
- New to principal investigator practice and/or new to botulinum toxin therapy, or if previously participated in a botulinum toxin clinical trial, must not have received botulinum toxin for ≤16 weeks
- Informed consent was obtained from all subjects
- Exclusion criteria
- Planning elective surgery during the observational study period
- Pregnant, nursing, or planning a pregnancy

#### DISCLOSURE

This study and its analysis were sponsored by Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA. Writing assistance for poster development was provided by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA. Writing assistance for poster development was provided by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA. Writing assistance for poster development was provided by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Brotecine Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Brotecine Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc. and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Brotecine Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Allergan, Inc., Brotecine Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, and was funded by Susan Sutch, PharmD, of Informa Press; and research support from Ceregene, IMPAX, Michael J. Fox Foundation, Neuraltus Novartis, Parkinson Study Group, and Schering-Plough. Vanderbilt University receives income from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Medtronic, and Merck Serono. Dr Comella served as a consultant for Ipsen, Metronic, and Prizer for education and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Medtronic, and Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Medtronic, and Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Medtronic, and Prizer for education and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic, and Prizer for education and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic, and Metronic, and Prizer for education and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic, and Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles. Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from Eli Lilly, Ipsen, Metronic for research lead by Dr Charles receives income from grants and consulting fees from grants and consulting UCB, and Esai; her institution received research support from Merz, Allergan, Ipsen, and Boehringer-Ingelheim Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck Inc. and Teva for consulting services; he received compensation from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, Diana Helisian from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck Inc. and Teva for consulting services; he received compensation from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, Diana Helisian from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck Inc. and Teva for consulting services; he received compensation from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, Diana Helisian from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck Inc. and Teva for consulting services; he received compensation from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, Diana Helisian from Allergan Inc., Chelsea Therapeutics, D Henry Medical Research Foundation, EMD Serono. Huntington's Disease Society of America, Huntington Study Group, Impax Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen Limited, Lundbeck Inc, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen Limited, Lundbeck Inc, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Industries of Health, National Parkinson Research, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Interve Pharmaceuticals, Interve Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen Limited, Lundbeck Inc, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Interve Pharmaceuticals, Interve Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen Limited, Lundbeck Inc, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Interve Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen Limited, Lundbeck Inc, Mediconic, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Interve Allergan Inc. Dr Varon is an employee of Allergan Inc. Dr Papapetropoulos is an employee of Allergan Inc. and receives salary, stock, and stock options from Biogen Idec Inc. \*Dr Boo was employed at Allergan Inc.; previously he was employed at Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA.

Presented at the 63rd Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN), 9–16 April, 2011, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA

### RESULTS

Baseline Demographic and Disease Characteristics

| Enrollment as of October 11, 2010, N  | 499                             |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Females, n (%)  | 384 (77)                        |
| Race/ethnicity, n (%)   |                                 |
| Asian   | 10 (2)                          |
| Black   | 6 (1.2)                         |
| Hispanic  | 13 (2.6)                        |
| Native American   | 1 (0.2)                         |
| Other   | 1 (0.2)                         |
| White   | 468 (93.8)                      |
| Age, y, mean $\pm$ SD   | $57.5 \pm 14.3$                 |
| BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean $\pm$ SD  | $26.3\pm5.5$                    |
| Age at symptom onset, y, mean $\pm$ SD  | $48.2 \pm 16.1$                 |
| Time from CD onset to CD diagnosis, y, mean $\pm$ SD  | $\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{8.6}$ |
| Predominant feature of CD, % (CI)   |                                 |
| Torticollis   | 44.2 (39.9, 48.6)               |
| Lateralcollis   | 42.2 (37.9, 46.6)               |
| Retrocollis   | 5.9 (4.1, 8.3)                  |
| Anterocollis  | 4.7 (3.1, 6.9)                  |
| Other   | 3.0 (1.9, 5.0)                  |
| Time to CD treatment after diagnosis, y, mean $\pm$ SD  | $1.0\pm3.7$                     |
| Received botulinum toxin in the past, n (%)   |                                 |
| No  | 321 (64.5)                      |
| Yes   | 177 (35.5)                      |
| TWSTRS scores, mean $\pm$ SD (range)  | n=494                           |
| Severity  | 16.9 ± 5.5 (1-32)               |
| Disability  | 10.7 ± 6.5 (0-30)               |
| Pain  | 10.4 ± 5.2 (0-20)               |
| Total   | 38.0 ± 13.4 (4-77)              |
| Physician assessment of CD severity, %  | n=493                           |
| Mild / Moderate / Severe  | 40.2 / 48.7 / 11.2              |
| CD = cervical dystonia; CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; TWSTRS = Toronto<br>Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale |                                 |



#### OnabotulinumtoxinA dosage

- The mean ± standard deviation (SD) dose at injection 1 was 175.6 ± 104.9 Units.
- The mean ± SD interval between injection 1 and 2 was 100.4 ± 22.9 days and  $100.0 \pm 22.3$  days between injection 2 and 3.



CDIP-58 subscale scores were significantly higher with increasing physicianassessed severity (at baseline) for Head and Neck (P<0.0001), Upper Limb Activities (P<0.0001), Walking (P<0.0001), Annovance (P=0.0002), Mood (P=0.0021), and Psychosocial (P<0.0001) (Figure 2).

gure 3. Patient Global Impression of Change Assessments in Patients With Data at Each Visit (n=140) 100% Verv much worse Much worse. 80% Minimally wors No change 60% Minimally improved Much improved 40% Very much improved 20% 0% Inj 2 Inj 2 Inj 3 Inj 3 lni 1 Peak Effect Peak Effect Peak Effect Inj = injectior

- At the peak effect evaluation following injection 1, 85.7% of subjects had some improvement in their general assessment of their health and 89.3% reported some improvement at injection 3 peak effect. (Figure 3)
- At injection 1 peak effect, 51.4% (72/140) of patients reported they were "much improved" or "very much improved." This increased at injection peak 3 to 65.0% (91/140). (Figure 3)
- At injection 1 peak effect, 5.6% (8/140) of patients reported some worsening, which declined to 3.6% (5/140) at injection 3 peak effect. (Figure 3)

## CONCLUSIONS

- Disease-specific quality of life, as assessed by CDIP-58, improved following 3 injections of onabotulinumtoxinA.
- Physician-assessed severity of CD was comparable to baseline scores of most subscales on CDIP-58.
- Most subjects (>80%) reported their general health improved following each injection of onabotulinumtoxinA.
- Improvement in symptoms was sustained over the course of 3 injections of onabotulinumtoxinA given at intervals of 100 days.
- OnabotulinumtoxinA was well tolerated with repeat injections, with no serious treatment-related adverse events.

## References

1. Jankovic J, Tsui J, Bergeron C. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2007;13:411-416. 2. Camfield L, Ben-Shlomo Y, Warner TT. Mov Disord. 2002;17:838-841. **3.** Simpson DM, Blitzer A, Brashear A, et al. *Neurology*. 2008;70(19):1699-1706.

# **CD PROBE Study Group**



Lefko Aftonomos, CA; Pinky Agarwal, WA; Fahd Amjad, DC; Angela Applebee, VT; Kristin Appleby, DC; Richard Barbano, NY; Peter Barbour, PA; Brandon Barton, IL; Jay Bhatt, IN; Kevin Biglan, NY; David Bowers, TN; James Boyd, VT; Allison Brashear, NC; Mary Caire, TX; Barbara Changizi, NY; Mahan Chehrenama, VA; Shilpa Chitnis, TX; Cynthia Comella, IL; Boyd Crockett, MO; Paul Cullis, MI; Khashayar Dashtipour, CA; Lisa Davidson, MN; Thomas Davis, TN; J Antonelle De Marcaida, CT; Christina Drafta, NY; Drake Duane, AZ; Richard Dubinsky, KS; Jeffrey Esper, PA; Virgilio Evidente, AZ; Rez Farid, MO; Grace Forde, NY; Timothy Fries, VT; Ramon Gil, FL; John Goudreau, MI; David Greeley, WA; Alida Griffith, WA; Laurie Gutmann, WV; Gregory Hanes, FL; Robert Hauser, FL; Vanessa Hinson, SC; Patrick Hogan, WA; Tomas Holmlund, NY; Allan Ingenito, MN; Stuart Isaacson, FL; Bahman Jabbari, CT; Sandra Jacobson, AZ; Paul Jett, TN: John Kelemen, NY: Katie Kompoliti, IL: Daniel Kremens, PA: Rajeev Kumar, CO: Eugene Lai, TZ: Julie Leegwater-Kim, MA: Kenneth Levin, NJ: Peter LeWitt, MI; Tsao-Wei Liang, PA; Steven Lo, DC; Duarte Machado, CT; Padma Mahant, AZ; Zoltan Mari, MD; Anthony May, PA; Davis McDonald, FL; Stephen McGuire, TX; Emilio Melchionna, MA; Eric Molho, NY; Fatta Nahab, FL; Srinivas Nalamachu, KS; Anthony Nicholas, AL; Suneetha Nuthalapaty, TN; William Ondo, TX; Padraig O'Suilleabhain, TX; Fernando Pagan, DC; Atul Patel, KS; Gauri Pawar, WV; Diana Pollock, FL; Ben Renfroe, FL; Diana Richardson, CT; Perry Richardson, DC; Michael Rivner, GA; Jason Rosenberg, SC; David Ross, FL; Michael Rossen, MA; Kyle Ruffing, FL; Marwan Sabbagh, AZ; Johan Samanta, AZ; Cenk Sengun, FL; Aliya Sarwar, TX; Kapil Sethi, GA; Scott Sherman, AZ; Holly Shill, AZ; Tanya Simuni, IL; Carlos Singer, FL; Rodney Sorensen, WI; Michael Sorrell, MA; Natividad Stover, AL; Thyagarajan Subramanian, PA; William Sunter, FL; David Swope, CA; Tamara Miller, CO; Martin Taylor, OH; Margaret Tilton, NH; Bruno Tolge, NY; Richard Trosch, MI; Winona Tse, NY; Miodrag Velickovic, NY; Maureen Watts, TX; Robert Yapundich, NC; Cindy Zadikoff, IL; Lin Zhang, CA; Chong-Hao Zhao, CA

The potency units of onabotulinumtoxinA are specific to the preparation and assay method utilized. They are not interchangeable with other preparations of botulinum toxin products and, therefore, units of biological activity of onabotulinumtoxinA cannot be compared with or converted into units of any other botulinum toxin products assessed with any other specific assay method.