

Health Related Quality of Life in Parkinson's Disease Patients Undergoing Deep Brain Stimulation

Christopher Kenney, MD, Alan Diamond, DO, Anthony Davidson, BS

Lina Shinawi, BS, Joseph Jankovic, MD

Parkinson's Disease Center and Movement Disorders Clinic, Department of Neurology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas



OBJECTIVE

To determine the effect of subthalamic nucleus (STN) deep brain stimulation (DBS) on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in Parkinson disease (PD) patients.

BACKGROUND

Several studies have concluded that DBS improves motor function in medically-refractory PD patients; less emphasis has been placed on HRQoL measures. We sought to capture clinically relevant endpoints with an emphasis on quality of life (QoL) using disease-specific instruments

METHODS

PD patients who underwent STN-DBS were assessed prospectively using several clinical scales at baseline and 6 months after implantation: Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), Lang-Fahn Activities of Daily Living Dyskinesia Scale (LF-ADL), Questions on Life Satisfaction Module (QLSm), EQ-5D, Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE), and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS).

RESULTS

At total of 9 patients (5 male), age 57.2 ± 8.4 years, consented to be enrolled in this study. The UPDRS motor score (part III) improved by 36.3% (p=0.02) from baseline to 6 months in the medication off state (Table 1). The UPDRS total score (Part I-III) decreased 29.3% (p=0.03) at 6 months compared to baseline while off medications. During this same time, UPDRS dyskinesia severity decreased from 1.5 \pm 1.4 to 0.4 \pm 1.1 (p=0.05) while dyskinesia duration decreased from 1.7 \pm 1.2 to 0.4 \pm 0.5 (p=0.08). The LF-ADL scale improved significantly in total score from 13.9 \pm 4.8 to 3.7 \pm 3.9 (p=0.01) and in each individual subscore: handwriting (p=0.04), cutting (p=0.06), dressing (p=0.01), hygiene (p=0.01), and walking (p=0.02). Several portions of the QLSm improved significantly including QoL in relationship to general health (p=0.01), hobbies/leisure activities (p=0.05), energy/enjoyment of life (p=0.01), inconspicuousness of illness (p=0.03), and hand dexterity (p=0.02). The EQ5D "self care" score improved during the course of this study from 1.8 \pm 0.4 to 1.3 \pm 0.5 (p=0.04). On average, patients were "moderately" to "very satisfied" with several variables related to the neurostimulator: reliability, inconspicuousness, manipulation, and absence of false bodily sensations. Neither the GDS nor MMSE scores changed appreciably.

CONCLUSION

Improvements in motor function for PD patients undergoing STN-DBS translate into improved QoL using disease-specific clinical scales.

TABLE 1: Summary of Motor Endpoints at Baseline and 6 months after STN-DBS

	Baseline	6-month follow-up	Statistical significance: Baseline vs. 6 months
UPDRS I off medications	2.4 ± 1.7	1.5 ± 1.4	P=0.32
UPDRS I on medications	3.0 ± 1.2	1.75 ± 0.8	P=0.39
UPDRS II off medications	23.8 ± 2.0	17.8 ± 3.3	P=0.11
UPDRS II on medications	9.8 ± 2.1	7.4 ± 1.8	P=0.35
UPDRS III off medications	49.5 ± 4.8	31.5 ± 4.8	p=0.02
UPDRS III on medications	27.4 ± 6.6	17.0 ± 2.9	P=0.23
UPDRS Total (I-III) off meds	70.4 ± 18.3	49.8 ± 20.4	p=0.03
UPDRS Total (I-III) on meds	37.2 ± 16.3	25.0 ± 7.2	p=0.23
UPDRS Dyskinesia Duration (question 32)	1.7 ± 1.2	0.43 ± 0.53	p=0.08
UPDRS Dyskinesia Severity (question 33)	1.5 ± 1.4	0.43 ± 1.13	p=0.05

TABLE 2: Summary of QoL Endpoints at Baseline and 6 months after STN-DBS

Racolina	6-month follow-	Statistical significance: Baseline vs. 6
Daseille	up	months
13.9 ± 4.8	3.7 ± 3.9	p=0.01*
2.76 ± 1.35	0.78 ± 0.97	p=0.04*
2.53 ± 1.37	1.00 ± 1.32	p=0.06
2.47 ± 1.18	0.44 ± 0.73	p=0.01*
2.59 ± 1.18	0.67 ± 0.87	p=0.01*
2.53 ± 1.18	0.78 ± 0.83	p=0.02*
9.59 ± 0.90	8.33 ± 1.73	p=0.16
1.95 ± 0.23	2.00 ± 0.50	p=1.00
1.8 ± 0.4	1.33 ± 0.50	p=0.05†
2.00 ± .033	1.67 ± 0.50	p=0.08
2.05 ± 0.52	1.89 ± 0.60	p=0.56
1.74 ± 0.56	1.44 ± 0.53	p=0.93
5.67 ± 3.46	4.89 ± 3.06	p=0.50
±	±	p=0.03
±	±	p=0.05
28.7 ± 1.53	28.9 ± 1.90	p=0.48
	2.76 ± 1.35 2.53 ± 1.37 2.47 ± 1.18 2.59 ± 1.18 2.53 ± 1.18 9.59 ± 0.90 1.95 ± 0.23 1.8 ± 0.4 $2.00 \pm .033$ 2.05 ± 0.52 1.74 ± 0.56 5.67 ± 3.46 \pm	Baseline up 13.9 ± 4.8 3.7 ± 3.9 2.76 ± 1.35 0.78 ± 0.97 2.53 ± 1.37 1.00 ± 1.32 2.47 ± 1.18 0.44 ± 0.73 2.59 ± 1.18 0.78 ± 0.87 2.53 ± 1.18 0.78 ± 0.83 9.59 ± 0.90 8.33 ± 1.73 1.95 ± 0.23 2.00 ± 0.50 1.8 ± 0.4 1.33 ± 0.50 2.00 ± .033 1.67 ± 0.50 2.05 ± 0.52 1.89 ± 0.60 1.74 ± 0.56 1.44 ± 0.53 5.67 ± 3.46 4.89 ± 3.06 ± ± ±

^{*} paired t-test

† Wilcoxon signed-rank test

REFERENCES

- Diamond A. Jankovic J. The effect of deep brain stimulation on quality of life in movement disorders. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:1188-1193.
- Chrischilles EA, Rubenstein LM, Voelker MD, Wallace RB, Rodnitzky RL. The health burdens of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 1998;13:406-413.
 Damiano AM, McGrath MM, Willian MK, et al. Evaluation of a measurement strategy for Parkinson's disease: assessing patient health-related quality of life. Qual Life Res 2000;9:87-100.
- Schelife RT, Schumock GT, Burstein A, Gottwald MD, Luer MS. Impact of Parkinson's disease and its pharmacologic treatment on quality of life and economic outcomes. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2000;57:953-962
- 5 Glays (A.M.) Among A. Jozz T., et al. Quady of life outcomes following surject literatures to Parkingor's disease. Mov Disord 2017;188-75.
 Glays (A.M.) Among A.M. Bearsoning in Control of Control
- Harz CM, Lindberg M, Hariz MI. Bergenheim AT. Gender differences in disability and health-related quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease treated with stereotactic surgery. Acta Neurol Scand 2003;108:28-37.
 Just H. Osterogaard K. Health-related quality of life in patients with parkinson's disease treated with deep rais simulation of the subthalanier naucie. Mov Disord 2002;17:539-454.
- 8. Lagrange E, Krack P, Moro E, et al. Bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation improves health-related quality of life in PD. Neurology 2002;59:1976-1978.
- 9. Martinez-Martin P, Valideoriola F, Tolosa E, et al. Bilateral subultation induced simulation improves nearin-related updainty or in a relative in Proceedings of the Proceedings of t
- 10. Spottle EA, Volkmann, I, Lorenz D, et al. Evaluation of healthcare utilization and health status of patients with Parknirs some sases treated with deep brain stimulation of the subhalamic nucleus. J Neuro 2249:759-761.

 I. Lezzano E, Gomez-Esteban JC, Zarrazz J, et al. Improvement in quality of life in patients with advanced Parkinsor's designed following bilateral deep-brain stimulation in subthalamic nucleus. Eur J Neuro 2004;11:451-464.
- 12. Derost PP, Outchane L, Morand D, et al. Is DBS-STN appropriate to treat severe Parkinson disease in an elderly population? Neurology 2007;68:1345-1355.