

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to assess the long-term prognosis of patients with psychogenic movement disorders (PMD), and to determine prognostic indicator Patients seen in our Movement Disorders Clinic between 1988 and 2002 with the diagnosis of PMD were sent letters and later contacted by phone. Structured te interview, designed to assess motor and psychological function, was administe all patients who gave verbal consent. Data from the telephone interview and charter review was included for this analysis. Out of 12,625 patients seen in our mover disorders clinic between 1988–2002, 517 patients (4.1%) were given a diagnosis Their predominant movement disorders were categorized as follows: 211 (40.8% tremor, 208 (40.2%) dystonia, 88 (17.0%) myoclonus, 22 (4.3%) tics, 20 (3.9%) ga disorder, 16 (3.1%) parkinsonism, 7 (1.4%) dyskinesia, and 3 (0.6%) chorea; 38 had more than one movement disorder. Of the 517 patients 228 (44.1%), 166 (72 women, had evaluable data and were included in this analysis. The mean age o study population was 42.3 ± 14.3, mean duration of symptoms was 4.7 ± 8.1, and duration of follow up was 3.4 ± 2.8 years. Symptoms improved in 56.6% of patie while 22.1% were worse, and 21.3% remained the same at the time of follow up. Logistic modeling suggests that poorer outcome was predicted reliably by a face 2.9 for those exhibiting inconsistent PMD movements, 5.3 for those with a position history of smoking, 1.1 for every year of duration of PMD symptoms, and 4.9 for reporting satisfactory social life perceptions. In this largest, longitudinal study patients with PMD the following contributed to a favorable outcome: good phys health, positive social life perceptions, patient's perception of effective treatment physician, elimination of stressors, and treatment with a specific effective medication.

STUDY OBJECTIVE

- To study the long term prognosis of Psychogenic Movement Disorders (PMD)
- To characterize various PMD seen in the movement disorders clinic
- 3. Predict good prognostic indicators for PMD based on logistic regression analysis

Methods

- Patients with a diagnosis of PMD seen in the Baylor College of Medicine Movement Disorders Clinic between 1988–2002 were initially included in this study.
- As a first step letters requesting participation in a telephone survey and an update on contact information were sent to 517 patients who were diagnosed with PMD. Of these we have received 25 responses with 4 returned without a valid address. Attempts were then made to locate patients using white pages, internet people search engines, as well as telephone operator assistance. Only those with a valid telephone number and address were included in this study.
- Once the patients were contacted data was collected using a structured telephone interview and retrospective chart review. Once patient refused an interview, this response was recorded, and all available data from the chart was included.
- Attempts were made to verify retrospectively whether the patients satisfied the Fahn and Williams criteria [Ref 1] for PMD.
- Statistical analysis was performed using chi-square, and spearman's rho on ordinal and nominal variables, ANOVA was performed for continuous variables.
- Given the retrospective nature of examining the long-term outcome of patients with PMD, stepwise logistic regression modeling is appropriate as the inclusion or removal of predictors of outcome is based solely on statistical criteria. Furthermore, the backwards approach initially evaluates the set of all predictors, and subsequently, the least significant predictors are eliminated at each step of the logistic model until all included predictors are statistically significant at the α = 0.05 level. All analyses were carried out using SPSS v11.0.1.

Long-term Prognosis of Patients with Psychogenic Novement Disorders

Madhavi Thomas MD*, Kevin Dat Vuong MA, Joseph Jankovic MD

 Table 1. Summary of PMD Database
 (includes information from the telephone survey and chart review)

Diagnostic criteria

PMD Diagnosis

rs.
е
elephone
ered to
nart
ment
s of PMD.
%)
ait
patients
2.8%)
of our
d mean
ents,
r
ctor of
tive
r those
of
sical
nt by the

1.	Demographic information
2.	List of medical, psychiatric, and neurological
	problems both for the patient and family.
3.	Details of PMD (Including the detailed
	characteristics of each movement disorder)
4.	Other non-organic neurological conditions
5.	Other non-organic somatic complaints
6.	Precipitating event prior to onset
7.	Multiple somatizations
8.	Secondary gain
9.	Social history including personal history of
	smoking, alcohol, or any form of physical,
	emotional or sexual abuse.
10.	Mc Masters Health Index Questionnaire [Ref 2, 3]
11.	Features of PMD as documented in chart:
	Inconsistent movements
	Incongruous movements
	Distractibility
	Entrainment
	Suppressibility
	Deliberate slowing
	Rhythmical shaking
	La belle indifference
	Bizarre gait
	Variable frequency and amplitude
	Other features pertaining to each individual
	psychogenic movement disorder
12.	Fahn and Williams Criteria for PMD
40	

13. Response to placebo 14. Course of movement disorder including global

outcome

Table 2. Demographic Information

	n [N]	%
Number of patients (1988–2002)	[12625]	
Patients with PMD diagnosis	517	4.1
Patients included in this FU study	[228]	
Male	62	27.2
Female	166	72.8🗰
Initial visit, years ^	[228]	
Age	42.3	(14.3)
Duration of symptoms	3.4	(2.8)
Marital status	[211]	
Married	132	62.6
Never married	55	26.1
Divorced	17	1.9
Widowed	3	1.4
Employment status	[226]	
Employed	75	33.2
On disability	68	30.1
Student	26	1.5
Homemaker	17	7.5
Retired	15	6.6
Choose not to work	10	4.4
Unemployed	9	4.0
Litigation	3	1.3
Medically retired	2	0.9
Sick leave	1	0.4
Occuation	[225]	
Health-related	30	13.3

Myoclonus Tics Gait disorder Parkinsonism Dyskinesia PMD onset Abrupt Gradual **Precipitating event** Personal life stress Trauma Surgery Major illness Reaction to medical treatment/procedu Secondary gain Disability Compensation pend Litigation Relationship **Specific clinical features** None Distractibility Variable amplitude & Intermittent or episo Inconsistent movem Bizarre gait (astasia-Incongruous mover Active resistance to passive moveme Give-way weakness Variable direction Labelle indifference Suppressible Non-patterned **Deliberate slowing** Suggestible Preserved function with PMD Irregular tremor Sensory split Entrainment Rhythmical shaking Cautious gait Fixed posture

***** *p* < 0.0001

Key Ref

- 1. Fahn S, Williams DT. Psychogenic dy 2. Chambers LW. Sackett DL. Goldsmith
- of an index of social function. Health
- 3. Chambers LW, MacDonald LA, Tugwe Questionnaire as a measurement of c **Rheumatoid disease. J Rheumatol 1**
- 4. Thomas M, Jankovic J. Psychogenic management. CNS Drugs 2004;18:437

^Mean (SD); ***** *p* < 0.0001

Parkinson's Disease Center and Movement Disorders Clinic, Department of Neurology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas * Experimental Therapeutics Branch, Clinical Pharmacology Section, NINDS, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

Table 3. PMD Information

Table 4.	Comorbid Conditions
an	d Somatizations

	n [N]	%		n [N]	%	
agnostic criteria	[228]		Psychiatric	[228]		Social life
Clinically established	136	59.6	None	85	37.3	Good
Probable	44	19.3	Depression	118	51.8	Poor
Documented	32	14.0	Anxiety	50	21.9	Satisfa
Possible	16	7.0	Suicidal ideation	16	7.0	Physical h
I D Diagnosis	[228]		Anger	12	5.3	Good
Tremor	127	55.7	Panic attacks	8	3.5	Satisfa
Dystonia	89	39.0	Neurological	[228]		Poor
Myoclonus	30	13.2	None	52	22.8	Global out
Tics	15	6.6	Organic neurological disease	70	30.7	Better
Gait disorder	7	3.1	Numbness or sensory	69	30.3	Worse
Parkinsonism	6	2.6	Pain	59	25.9	Same
Dvskinesia	1	0.4	Weakness	37	16.2	Reasons f
/D onset	[226]	••••	Visual problems	36	15.8	Did not
Abrupt	187	82.7★	Muscle spasm	24	10.5	Perceiv
Gradual	39	17.3	Fibromvalgia	18	7.9	treatn
ecipitating event	[227]		Seizures	17	7.5	Elimina
Personal life stress	76	33.5	Speech problems	۰۰ ۲ 227 1		Use of
Trauma	65	28.6	None	156	68.7	Stress
Surgery	19	84	Stuttering	28	12.3	Biofeer
Maior illness	18	79	Dysarthria	21	93	Psycho
Reaction to medical	17	7.5	Word finding difficulties	13	5.7	Lost to fol
treatment/procedure	••		Vocalization	10	Δ Δ	Refuse
condary gain	[227]		Loss of speech	8	3.5	No resi
Disability	50	22.0	Somatizations	[228]		Dissati
Compensation pending	20	8.8	None	[<u></u>]	18 4	Seeing
Litigation	20	8.8	Pain syndrome	107	46 9	Ucenig
Relationshin	20	3.1	Headache	94	40.0 41 2	$\star \rho < 0.000^{\circ}$
Acific clinical features of PMD	، ۲ 228 1	0.1	Fatique	94	40.8	
None	[<u>7</u> 70]	13	Insomnia	77	40.0 33 8	
Distractibility	1/18	64.9	Memory loss	70	30.0	
Variable amplitude & frequency	107	16 Q	Exhaustion	69	30.7	
Intermittent or onisodic	007	40.9	Dizzinoes	62	30.3 27 2	
Inconsistant movements	50 68	20 Q	Soxual dysfunction	25	27.2 11 Ω	Predic
Rizarro gait (actacia abacia)	00 50	29.0 21 0	Sexual uysiunction Solf inflicted injuries	20	0.2	
Dizarre yait (astasia-abasia)		21.3	Sen-innicleu injunes	21	J. Z	Social life
Active registeres	აა 20	14.3				
to passive movement	29	12.7				Longer du Positive b
Give-way weakness	28	12.3				Dracanca
Variable direction	28	12.3		4		LIC2CIICG
Labelle indifference	24	10.5	Table 5. Treatm	ent		^ Not a rolia
Suppressible	24	10.5				
Non-patterned	22	9.6		n [N]	%	
Deliberate slowing	18	7.9				

	Ν
	Treatmer
ERENCES	None
stonia Adv Neurol 1988: 50: 431-455	Medic
CH et al. Development and application	Placel
Serv Res. 1976; 11: 430-441.	Р
II P et al. The McMaster Health Index	N
82; 9: 780-784.	Biofee
movement disorders: Diagnosis and	Psych
-52.	Psych

7.9

7.0

6.6

6.6

4.8

4.8

4.4

4.4

16

15

15

10

10

	n [N]	%
Treatment history		
Physician	[228]	
Internist/Family practitioner	209	91.7
Neurology	171	75.0
Psychiatry	49	21.5
Movement disorder	12	5.3
Pain specialist	11	4.8
Neurosurgeon	11	4.8
Therapist	[227]	
Physical therapy	17	7.5
Chiropractor	14	6.2
Psychotherapy	13	5.7
Biofeedback	9	4.0
Neuropsychologist	8	3.5
Treatment offered	[228]	
None	5	2.2
Medication (added)	177	77.6
Placebo (n = 41)		
Positive	38	92.7
Negative	3	7.3
Biofeedback/relaxation	96	42.1
Psychology	38	16.7
Psychiatry	34	14.9
Physical therapy	12	5.3

Smoking vs Weake Smoking vs Negativ Positive soc vs Better Younger ag vs strong Gradual on vs Longer Smoking vs Poorer

Variab

Better outco vs Shorte Stronger ph vs Better

Positive soc vs Better outcome



CONCLUSIONS

- An assessment of outcome was possible in 53.5% of those who were able to contact.
- Based on logistic regression analysis, poor prognostic indicators for PMD include presence of inconsistent movements, suggestibility, and dissatisfaction with the physician, positive history of smoking and long duration of illness.
- Litigation, disability, dependence on spouse or caretaker were not significant prognostic factors based on logistic regression analysis.
- Good prognosis was associated with patient's perception of receiving effective treatment by physician, attribution of a specific medication, good physical health, positive social life perception, higher score on McMaster's Health Index, elimination of stressor, and co-morbid anxiety disorder.

Although our results are consistent with previously reported series [4], this series represents the largest population of patients with PMD followed for the longest period of time

Table 7. Logistic Regression Analysis

tor Variable	β	Wald statistic	p	Odds ratio 95% CI	
perceptions — Satisfactory	1.59	5.43	0.020	4.90 (1.29, 18.67)	
Poor	0.98	2.78	0.095 ^	2.66 (0.84, 8.37)	
ration of PMD symptoms	0.07	4.37	0.037	1.07 (1.00, 1.14)	
story of smoking	1.68	7.88	0.005	5.35 (1.66, 17.28)	
of inconsistent PMD movements	1.06	3.87	0.049	2.88 (1.00, 8.28)	

^ Not a reliable predictor of same/worse outcome.

Table 8. Spearman Rho **Correlational Analysis**

es	ρ	р
r nhysical health	0.25	< 0.02
ve social life	0.32	< 0.001
cial life perception	-0.45	< 0.0001
je at onset	0.24	< 0.02
set	0.40	< 0.0001
	0.29	< 0.002
ome	0.23	< 0.02
nysical health	0.24	< 0.02
outcome cial life	0.27	< 0.007
OUITCOME		

Table 9. Organic Movement Disorders with PMD

	n [N]	%
Organic movement disorder	[37]	
Tremor	19	51.4
Focal	16	43.2
Gait disorder	18	48.6
Dystonia	11	29.7
Focal	7	18.9
Myoclonus	4	10.8
Parkinsonism	3	8.1
Tics	2	5.4
Dyskinesia	0	0.0
Chorea	0	0.0

Table 6. Long-term Outcome

	n [N]	%
ocial life	[96]	
Good	46	47.9
Poor	33	34.4
Satisfactory	17	17.7
nysical health	[97]	
Good	39	40.2
Satisfactory	30	30.9
Poor	28	28.9
obal outcome	[122]	
Better	69	56.6*
Worse	27	22.1
Same	26	21.3
easons for improvement	[113]	
Did not improve	49	43.4
Perceived effective	36	31.9
treatment by physician		
Elimination of stressor	11	9.7
Use of specific medication	7	6.2
Stress management	4	3.5
Biofeedback	3	2.7
Psychotherapy	3	2.7
ost to follow-up	[228]	
Refused interview	75	32.9
No response	48	21.1
Dissatisfaction	41	18.0
Seeing some other physician	36	15.8